

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/675,969	07/05/1996	CLAUDIO BOFFITO	DLA	1336
22918 PERKINS COI	7590 03/07/200 E LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2168			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
MENLO PARK, CA 94026		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			·			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		08/675,969	BOFFITO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
<del></del>		William C. Doerrler	3744			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISINGS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 CSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status		•				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-27-	2005 (the interference de	ecision)			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	-	•			
	•	unnlication				
	Claim(s) <u>1-20,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.				
	Claim(s) 1-20,24 and 25 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	olootion requirement				
		election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 1996</u> is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ¡ ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori					
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o		received.			
		,				
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date			
nnorm رے رہ Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) I Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>-</del>			

Application/Control Number: 08/675,969

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Reissue Applications

### (1) <u>Assignee Consent</u>

This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01.

A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 is required in reply to this Office action.

Applicant must provide the assignee's written consent to the reissue in accordance with 37 CFR 1.172(a). Where no assignee exists, applicant should affirmatively state that fact. This can be done by simply checking the "NO" box of item 7 of Form PTO/SB/50 (which form may be signed by the inventors, or by a registered practitioner). If the file record is silent as to the existence of an assignee, it will be presumed that an assignee does exist. See MPEP 1410.01(I). To cure this defect, applicant may use Form PTO/SB/53 which may be downloaded from the USPTO website.

## (2) <u>Declaration</u>

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

The reissue declaration filed on 4/28/03 failed to properly identify at least one 35 U.S.C.251 error. It is <u>not</u> sufficient for an oath /declaration to merely state

Application/Control Number: 08/675,969

Art Unit: 3744

"... because all of the apparatus claims are limited to particular getter materials and particular actuations of such materials and do not affirmatively recite applicant's new and unobvious physical structure and the process by which such structure functions." Patentees seek to obtain claims having a scope broader than of the scope of the claims in the issued patent. Rather, the oath /declaration must specifically identify an error. Any error in the claims must be identified by reference to the specific claim(s) and the specific claim language wherein lies the error. (MPEP 1414 II. (C)). It is not clear which language in the patent claims 1-20 the reissue is attempting to replace or remove. The errors mentioned and corrected in the reissue oath/declaration of 2/8/01are no longer there because the applicants canceled the added claims 21-23. The differences between the newly added claims 24-25 and the original claims 1-20 must be pointed out (MPEP 1414).

Claims 1-20, 24 and 24 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

# (3) Recapture

Claims 1-20,24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein*,

Application/Control Number: 08/675,969

Art Unit: 3744

Inc., 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Ball Corp. v. United States, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application.

Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Newly added claims 24-25 contain recapture of surrender subject matter. This is impermissible by filing a reissue application to recapture what was surrendered (MPEP 1412). All apparatus claims in the original patent (claims 17-20) were allowed based on the applicant amendments and arguments filed on 5/9/04 and on 3/10/94 in SN 08/038643 in the parent patented file, now U.S. Pat. 5,408,832. In particular, the applicant had amended the claims and argued the importance of the added limitations regarding BaLi4 for getter material and any oxides of Markush group for moisture sorbing material. The importance of such materials is also discussed throughout the prosecution of the parent application, SN 08/038643. Subsequently, the examiner found the arguments were persuasive and allowed the claims in the amendments. Today, the applicants seek to omit the same limitations added in the parent patent in the newly added claims 24-25.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD